Good afternoon,

In response to your correspondence of the 04<sup>th</sup> May 2022.

I would like to lodge an objection to Sunnica's proposed request for a delay and suggested timetable for examination. There appears no credible reasoning behind the delay & any delays are most likely going to prejudice the community members.

The proposed changes are significant and I am of the opinion that there would not be enough time for these to be evaluated and be able to undergo a thorough consultation process.

When we consider the massive infrastructure changes that the existing proposed plans have been brought forward, as a general member of the public, before the process even started I had somewhat expected that the industry regulators would have carried out their own assessment on not only the feasibility of the project but also to ensure that the persons driving the project are fit and proper.

It appears that the project seems to be ill-conceived and lacks the required planning & depth of thought to be able to withstand any challenges should they arise. When I originally opposed the project to "have a voice", I eluded to the fact that these may purely be venture capitalists looking at making money, they seem to have little interest in sustainable "green" energy and are more focused on the "profit" element of the scheme. Resulting in of course a poorly put-together plan that could barely stand scrutiny from the public (on a single engagement in 3 years) let alone wider, more in-depth scrutiny.

I urge you to uphold this objection and shelve this project until it can be properly thought through with a full impact assessment on the many communities that it is likely to have an effect on.

Kind Regards

Chris Davison